

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/439,061	11/12/1999	ROBERT J. PROEBSTING	939A-350-1-2	1190
20350	7590 09/15/2003			
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			YENKE, BRIAN P	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	,
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/439,061	PROEBSTING, ROBERT J.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		BRIAN P. YENKE	2614			
	of this communication a		with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on <u>Re</u>	equest for Reconsideration	n 07 Aug 03 .			
2a) This action is FINAL	2b)⊠ 1	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 20-25 is/are	e pending in the applicat	tion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-25</u> is/are	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-25</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
			C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)		, , ,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Notice of References Cited (PTC 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent I 3) Information Disclosure Statement	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office .	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 14			

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over May, US 5,815,168 in view of Lu et al., US 5,781,200.

In considering claims 20-23.

1) the claimed segmenting a plurality of pixels... is met controller 510 which is coupled to host CPU 540, and transfers data to display memory 550 via memory controller 520, where the pixels may be ordered within a row of memory in a scan-line format (left to right, top to bottom) or in another format are stored in a tiled address format

2) the claimed storing data representing each of said plurality of pixel groups is met by display memory 550 which stores the tiled pixels.

However, May remains silent on the storing data in non-adjoining arrays.

May discloses a "Tiled Memory Addressing With Programmable Tile Dimensions" where the tile shape and dimensions are optimized for sizes and shapes of blocks of pixel data to be transferred to the display memory (col 4, line 53-56). The tile dimensions which

Art Unit: 2614

include tile size and tile height are programmable parameters stored in software (col 6, line 20-30). The parameters may also be determined by software depending upon video mode, resolution, and pixel depth. As defined by May, tile size(aspect ratio) is the number of pixels in a tile which is the same as the number of pixels which may fit into one row of the DRAM array. Also, May discloses that subsequent accesses to data words in different columns of the same row (column accesses) are much faster than accesses to different rows. May discloses depending upon the graphics or video image to be displayed a sequential scan-line based addressing scheme may create a bottleneck when data is input into the memory (col 2, line 28-41).

The examiner incorporates Lu et al., US 5,781,200 which discloses that within a DRAM there is a single row of sense amplifiers so rows of the same array conflict since they (rows of the same array) cannot be open at the same time (abstract, col 9, line 35-38).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to modify May, which discloses a system which optimizes tile shape and dimensions for pixel data to be in stored in memory based upon the video mode, resolution and pixel depth, with Lu, by storing the pixels of one row into non-adjoining arrays since rows of the same array cannot be open at the same time, to provide a memory which would provide faster access time and prevent bottlenecking from occurring.

In considering claims 24-25,

Art Unit: 2614

Page 4

May does not specifically disclose, dividing the display panel into a first half and a second half. May discloses a system where a depending upon the application type, a particular tile size (i.e. aspect ratio) may provide optimal performance depending upon the type of data being transferred. Where transfers of text data may perform optimally with long, narrow tiles for text and graphical images and video on the other hand may be optimized using taller more rectangular or square tile shapes.

The examiner incorporates Lu et al., US 5,781,200 which discloses that within a DRAM there is a single row of sense amplifiers so rows of the same array conflict since they (rows of the same array) cannot be open at the same time (abstract, col 9, line 35-38).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify May which discloses a system which divides the pixel data into an appropriate tile shape/size based upon the type of video mode, resolution, pixel depth of the signal, with Lu, by storing the pixels of one row into non-adjoining arrays since rows of the same array cannot be open at the same time, where a display panel can be divided up into a first half and second half in order to store the pixels into non-adjoining arrays to provide a memory which would provide faster access time and prevent bottlenecking from occurring.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2614

Lim, US 5,291,443 discloses a memory array configuration of memory cells that allows simultaneous read and refresh of the memory cells.

Buckelew et al., US 5,864,512 discloses a "High Speed Video Frame Buffer Using Single Port Memory Chips" where the buffer memory is subdivided into a plurality of blocks, each block corresponding to a region of the display.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Yenke whose telephone number is (703) 305-9871. The examiner work schedule is Monday-Thursday, 0730-1830 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, John W. Miller, can be reached at (703)305-4795.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist). Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the

Art Unit: 2614

Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

BRIAN P. YEŃKĘ

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2614

B.P.Y

September 8, 2003